MEETING MINUTES

Date:       Feb 1, 2012, 4:30 p.m.
Location:  MSU CoB Reid Hall, Room 103
Subject:   MSU-College of Business Building – Work Session 1 Open House Information Meeting

Attendees: Susan Dana, MSU CoB Interim Dean, sdana@montana.edu
          Walt Banziger, wbanziger@montana.edu
          Frank Kerins, fkerins@montana.edu
          Jeff Butler, jjbutler@montana.edu
          Russ Katherman, rkatherman@mt.gov
          Joe Triem, jtriem@mt.gov
          Lisa Daniels, lisa.daniels1@montana.edu
          Brenda Truman, bstruman@montana.edu
          Harry Benham, hbenham@montana.edu
          Audrey Lee, CoB Marketing
          Halina Rickman, CoB Administration
          Tracy Ellig, MSU News Service
          Marc Gillian, CoB Faculty Member
          Miranda Clark, Student College of Architecture
          Dalton Arndt, Student College of Architecture
          Todd Goertzen, Community Member
          2 other Community Members – (not identified)
          Tim Eddy, Hennebery Eddy, Teddy@henneberyeddy.com
          Jon McGrew, Hennebery Eddy, JMcgrew@henneberyeddy.com
          Kathleen Kavanaugh, Programming Consultant, kvkavanaugh@gmail.com
          Ben Lloyd, Comma-Q Architecture, ben@commaq.com
          Kim Everts, kim@commaq.com

Submitted: Kim Everts

The following items were discussed at the 1st MSU College of Business project Informational Open House meeting:

Introductions:

We are starting out the project with the first phase – PROGRAMMING.

What goes in the building, how big is the building and where is the building - are the three main objectives in Programming Phase.

The Design Team Goals are:
LISTEN & LEARN
ESTABLISH A COMMON LANGUAGE
DEVELOP EVALUATION CRITERIA

Tim reviewed the Site Selection slides and discussed them as follows:

Planning and Policy – A cohesive plan on the campus expansion. Will use this and refer back to it when evaluating sites. Master plan talks about increasing density, using building mass to create well defined outdoor space, like Romney Oval. Site and scale, Orient main building entry toward prominent open space. Then the nature of time (changes) looking out 10 years and 25 years.

Multi-model user access cars, bikes, peds, visitors, community/ staff and students, relationships to the rest of campus and other buildings. Parking.

Experience and Environment – Reid hall is in the perfect site. Views, on the mall, across from MT Hall, adjacency to Library. How do we find the next best spot? Opportunities in the landscape?
**Staging the Action** – heard this building wants to be hub, bring in visitors/community, creating successful public spaces. How do we do this, people are hard to herd. What kind of other campus activities do we want to consider adjacent to the building (outdoor spaces) Seeing the activity happening increases people’s interest in joining in.

**Utilities and energy** – the services for the building are important when looking at the location of the building.

Tim summarized that there are about 6 different sites that the team will evaluate and present back to the group in a few weeks. The Site Selection sub-committee and the Building Committee will then rank the site and present them to the UFPB and President Cruzado.

**Kathleen asked the attendees their Site or Building Criteria that is most important to them?**

Susan – access and convenience for students (has two, but this is most important)

Tracy - Keep close in the Academic Core.

Marc – Set up relationship with other colleges and buildings to encourage the students to leave the building or invite other students to come to the CoB.

Harry – Concern about visitor access, want it to be straightforward.

Community Member – want access for deliveries to be considered.

Community Member – should be most energy efficient building on campus.

Audrey – Building should be Memorable and Professional.

Harry – Would like the building to be comfortable for other disciplines’ to want to collaborate. Don’t want it to be too Big, too funky or too far away.

Marc – Want an Open building that you can see in and out.

Lisa – maximize the views and natural light. Reflect Innovation.

Tracy – campus short on spaces for public events, would like to see this included in the new building.

**Kathleen asked – What don’t you want the building to be?**

Lisa – Forbidding

Tracy – don’t want narrow hallways like in the new animal bioscience building.

Dalton – don’t want it to be maize like (Willson Hall) want easy to navigate.

**Other Thoughts about the new building?**

Audrey – if the building is located far away from the center of campus, need to consider food services.

Marc – like the lounge in the Physical Educ Building (with the fireplace)

Miranda – like having more space in between the buildings than other more urban campuses. Allows for views.

Tim Summed up that in the next few weeks the Design Team will be reviewing all the information gathered in the meetings held today and tomorrow. We will return at the end of the month with more defined information on the sites and spaces to review with the committees and the community.

The above represents the Architect’s understanding of the discussions and decisions communicated during the meeting. Please bring any revisions or additions to the Architect's attention within five (5) business days of receipt of these meeting minutes. Thank you.